

### **B10.2.4.12 CONSULTATION FEEDBACK RESULTING IN NO CHANGES TO SMP POLICY AND / OR DOCUMENTATION – PORTSMOUTH CITY COUNCIL**

<b>Comment relates to</b>	<b>Portsmouth City Council</b>	<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Private individual</b>	<b>Response No</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Comment received</b>			<b>Client Steering Group Response</b>		
No additional comments			Thank you for your consultation feedback form. We will analyse the information you and others have provided in the consultation report.		

<b>Comment relates to</b>	<b>Portsmouth City Council</b>	<b>Consultee</b>	Private Individual	<b>Response No</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Comment received</b>			<b>Client Steering Group Response</b>		
Having representatives who have worked on the plan on hand at Portsmouth Library on 8/2/10 proved very helpful and interesting. They were able to explain in clear, simple terms the plan and how it will affect our area of Anchorage Park. In fact our minds were put at rest that defences will be improved in that area, although there are still planning/funding issues to be resolved.			Thank you for your positive consultation response.		

<b>Comment relates to</b>	<b>Portsmouth City Council</b>	<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Residents Association of Port Solent</b>	<b>Response No</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Comment received</b>			<b>Client Steering Group Response</b>		
I found the display very informative, particularly with the excellent explanations from Kirsty Klepacz. It is encouraging seeing that the PCC is working on a plan for the whole region and taking account of public views through this consultation. All that is needed now is for central government to provide the funding!			Thank you for your positive consultation response.		

Comment relates to	Portsmouth City Council	Consultee	Residents Association of Port Solent	Response No	34
<b>Comment received</b>			<b>Client Steering Group Response</b>		
<p>The content of the SMP for our area appears to be good. the exhibition at Portsmouth ( and the presenters) was excellent (especially Kirsty Klepacz who was extremely helpful and knowledgeable). My major concern is who is going to deal with the politics to get the most urgent actions implemented. While we can all understand the poor economic situation due to mismanagement of public expenditure the current economics mean that funds will be very hard to obtain. It strikes me that if some of the more essential works are not carried out promptly any flood situation will mean that money spent on all other infrastructure and utility projects will be wasted. Thus the SMP findings warrant a very high priority for public funds.</p>			<p>Thank you for your consultation response. The SMP is a high level strategic document that recommends coastal flood and erosion risk management policy that will result in economically, socially and environmentally sustainable management of the shoreline, but does not guarantee public funding. Once all of the SMP's around the entire coast of the UK are completed central government will then be able to reassess national funding budgets and prioritise coastal defence expenditure to regions identified as being most at risk. The SMP has identified that coastal defence works are urgently needed along many stretches of the North Solent shoreline. The Portsea Island Coastal Defence Strategy provides further details about the defence requirements and prioritised works required to implement the proposed policies. The SMP Action Plan will confirm the recommendations from the CDS. The SMP team recommend that you contact your local planning authority for further information.</p>		

Comment relates to	Portsmouth City Council	Consultee	First Wessex Housing Association	Response No	36
<b>Comment received</b>			<b>Client Steering Group Response</b>		
<p>As an organisation housing people in housing need I was assured to see that the island of Portsea would be protected and you intend to hold the line. I think the idea to enhance the defences along Langstone Harbour/eastern rd/Anchorage Park are great but I think it should be sooner because on a number of occasions when the tide is high it looks like with a strong wind the water might easily top over the defences.</p>			<p>Many thanks for your consultation response. The SMP is a high level strategic document that recommends broad-scale coastal flood and erosion risk management policy that will result in economically, socially and environmentally sustainable management of the shoreline. The Portsea Island Coastal Defence Strategy provides further details about the defence requirements and prioritised works required to implement the proposed policies. The SMP Action Plan will</p>		

	confirm the recommendations from the CDS. The SMP team recommend that you contact your local planning authority for further information.
--	--

<b>Comment relates to</b>	<b>Portsmouth City Council</b>	<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Langstone harbour advisory committee</b>	<b>Response No</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Comment received</b>		<b>Client Steering Group Response</b>			
Consultation has been over many years as Langstone is part of SEMS. As Langstone Harbour has changed a great deal over the past 50 years, judging what may happen in 50 years is difficult. However, the proposals are reasonable and no doubt can be managed as time goes by.		Thank you for your positive comments. As you mention it is very difficult to predict with great certainty how the coastline will evolve over and the next 50-100years. However, we have used the best available data available on predicted rates of sea level rise and we have also drawn on a wealth of existing knowledge about the North Solent in terms of past change and the driving forces behind this change in order to make our predications. Your assertion that the proposals can be reviewed over time is correct, the SMP will be reviewed approximately every 10 years.			